



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/674,868	01/03/2001	Eiichi Nagasaka	Р4970ь	7093
20178	7590 03/30/2004		EXAMINER	
EPSON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT INC			GOODWIN, JEANNE M	
	'UAL PROPERTY DEP' DAKS PARKWAY, SUI'		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,			2841	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/674,868	NAGASAKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeanne-Marguerite Goodwin	2841	ph
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	. J	correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi a, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this committee ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under <u>N</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 26-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 26-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the second and the second area of the second and the second area of the second	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified c	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/9/01 and 11/6/00.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail)		52)

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 26-34, 36, 37, 39-41, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 3,579,974 to Schmidt [hereinafter Schmidt].

Schmidt discloses an electronically-controlled drive mechanism for clocks having a startup member comprising a rotor wheel/rotation target gear (7) having a driving pinion (9) comprising tongues/engaged portion (8) which are magnetically excited via oscillating coil having a yoke (16), wherein the start of the driving system is accomplished such that a free end of a fixed starter spring/engaging portion (23) is connected to the first translating gear (24) or on the second translating gear and meshes or engages in any desired cog of the gear (24), upon the disengagement of a corresponding lever (25), causes the gear (24) and therewith the rotor wheel (7) to assume a suitable speed of rotation and two driving oscillator coils/external operating member (5/6) which apply a rotating force to the rotor wheel/rotation target gear (7), while the starter spring/engaging portion (23) is in engagement with the tongues/engaged portion (8), . Furthermore, the starting spring may, of course, also be connected directly to the rotor wheel (7). The automatic start of the rotor wheel (7) may be effected, for example, by means of a slowly increasing switching frequency up to the theoretical

Art Unit: 2841

frequency, and by subsequently continuing the further operation with the aid of the generator. Moreover, the starter spring/engaging portion (23) is moved substantially in a tangential direction relative to a peripheral portion of the rotor wheel/rotation target gear (7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt. Schmidt discloses a device as stated above with regards to claims 26-34, 36, 37, 39-41, 44 and 45. Schmidt discloses all the subject matter claimed by applicant with the exception of the limitation stated in claim 35, i.e., the startup spring being a leaf spring.

With respect to the limitation stated in claim 35: the use of the particular type of startup spring claimed by applicant, i.e., leaf spring, absent any criticality, is considered to be nothing more than a choice of engineering skill, choice of design because 1) neither non-obvious nor unexpected results, i.e., results which are different in kind and not in degree from the results of the prior art, will be obtained as long as the spring serves to start the rotor as already suggested by Schmidt, 2) the startup spring claimed by applicant and the startup spring used by Schmidt are well known alternate types of springs which will perform the same function, if one is replaced with the other, of starting the rotor, and

Art Unit: 2841

3) the use of this particular type by applicant is considered to be nothing more than the use of one of numerous and well known alternate types of springs that a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been able to provide using routine experimentation in order to start the rotor as already suggested by Schmidt.

5. Claim 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt in view of US Patent 5,923,619 to Knapen et al. [hereinafter Knapen].

Schmidt discloses a device as stated above with regards to claims 26-34, 36, 37, 39-41, 44 and 45. Schmidt discloses all the subject matter claimed by applicant with the exception of the limitation stated in claim 38, i.e., the external operating member is a crown.

With respect to the limitation stated in claim 38: Knapen discloses a generator using a winding knob or push button in order to manually drive a rotor wheel (4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the winding knob or push button assembly, as taught by Knapen, to the rotor wheel of Schmidt, wherein this way of driving can be used for recharging as already suggested by Knapen.

6. Claims 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt in view of US Patent 6,483,276 to Shimizu et al. [hereinafter Shimizu].

Schmidt discloses a device as stated above with regards to claims 26-34, 36, 37, 39-41, 44 and 45. Schmidt discloses all the subject matter claimed by applicant with the exception of the limitation stated in claim 42, i.e., the rotor includes an inertia plate; and

Art Unit: 2841

the limitation stated in claim 43, i.e., the inertia plate being attached to a rotating shaft of the rotor.

With respect to the limitation stated in claims 42 and 43: Shimizu discloses an electric power generator comprising a rotor (12) and a rotor inertia disk (12c) attached to a rotating shaft of the rotor (12) (see Fig. 3), wherein the rotor inertia disk (12c) serves to minimize the variation in the rotational speed of the rotor (12) caused by a variation in the driving torque. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add rotor inertia disk, as taught by Shimizu, to the rotor of Schmidt to minimize the variation in the rotational speed of the rotor (12) caused by a variation in the driving torque.

7. Claims 46, 48, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt in view of Shimizu.

Schmidt discloses a device as stated above with regards to claims 26-34, 36, 37, 39-41, 44 and 45. Schmidt discloses all the subject matter claimed by applicant with the exception of the limitations stated in claims 46 and 50, i.e., the rotation controller and the hands driven under control of the rotation controller

With respect to the limitations stated in claims 46 and 50: Furthermore, Shimizu discloses a rotational controller driven by the electric energy so as to control rotation period of the electric power generator so that the clock hands connected to a wheel train are precisely driven to indicate precise time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the rotational controller/hand assembly, as taught by Shimizu, to the generator of Schmidt, in

Art Unit: 2841

order to control the rotation period of the electric power generator so that the clock hands connected to a wheel train are precisely driven to indicate precise time as already suggested by Shimizu.

8. Claim 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt in view of Shimizu and US Patent 4,939,707 to Nagao.

Schmidt discloses a device as stated above with regards to claims 26-34, 36, 37, 39-41, 44 and 45. Schmidt discloses all the subject matter claimed by applicant with the exception of the limitations stated in claim 47, i.e., a transmission wheel train, hands being driven by the transmission wheel train and an accumulator.

With respect to the limitations stated in claim 47, i.e., a transmission wheel train and hands being driven by the transmission wheel train: More specifically, Shimizu teaches using an electric power generator, driven by the mechanical energy source connected to the electric power generator via an energy transmission device such as a wheel train, for generating electric power by means of induction and supplying resulting electrical energy, wherein the hands are connected to the energy transmission device so as to indicate time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add transmission device, as taught by Shimizu, to the generator of Schmidt, in order for the mechanical energy source to be converted to electric power as already suggested by Shimizu.

With respect to the limitations stated in claim 47, i.e., an accumulator: Nagao discloses an electronic wristwatch with an electric generator using an accumulator charging capacitor (45) in order of assuring the continuous operation of the watch should

Art Unit: 2841

the primary source be rendered inactive. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add accumulator, as taught by Nagao, to the generator of Schmidt, in order to assure the continuous operation of the watch should the primary source be rendered inactive.

Inventorship

9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 disclose related devices. US Patent 6,441,516 to Kaelin et al. discloses a power generator having an accumulator.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jeanne-Marguerite Goodwin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday

Art Unit: 2841

(9am-6pm), alternate Fridays off. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2861.

JMG

March 22, 2004

DAVID MARTIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800